

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/699,115	10/31/2003	Sung-Soo Chae	11038-103-999	4992
24341	7590 02/23/2006		EXAMINER	
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP.			AVERY, BRIDGET D	
2 PALO ALT	O SQUARE			
3000 EL CAMINO REAL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALTO, CA 94306			3618	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,115	CHAE, SUNG-SOO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bridget Avery	3618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 December 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Example 25. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the second state of the second state	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 1. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 2. In claim 6, the phrase "said first insertion hole and said insertion hole define an axis, and said axis defines and angle of about 30 to 60 degrees with a horizontal axis" is confusing rendering the claim indefinite because the axis of the first insertion hole is separate from the axis of the second insertion hole.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimada et al. (US Patent 4,349,078).

Shimada et al. teaches a fixing device for an automobile muffler (1) similar to applicant's including:

Application/Control Number: 10/699,115 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

Two muffler side connecting pins (note innermost holes with pins/hooked portion
 9a)

- Two car body side connecting pins (note outermost holes with pins/hooked portion 11)
- ❖ The distance between the car body side connecting pins (11) is longer than that of the muffler side connecting pins (9a)
- ❖ Two resilient hangers (10), each hanger including a first insertion hole, into which a respective one of the two muffler side connecting pins (9a) is inserted; and a second insertion hole, into which a respective one of the two car body side connecting pins (11) is inserted
- ❖ The muffler connecting pins (9a) are fixed at the muffler via a cover bracket (9) and associated vertical flange (2); the muffler side connecting pins (9a) are fixed to the vertical flange surface via bracket (9) and bolts (8), protruding toward the front of the automobile
- ❖ The body side connecting pins (11) are coupled underneath the automobile and fixed to a surface of the car body (4)
- With respect to claims 6 and 8, as best understood, an axis extending between the insertion holes defines an angle of about 30 to 60 degrees with a horizontal axis and is about 45 degrees above horizontal when received on the pins

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/699,115 Page 4

Art Unit: 3618

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimada et al. in view of Bartholomew (US Patent 5,197,698).

Shimada et al. teaches the features described above.

Shimada et al. lacks the teaching of a car body side bracket.

Bartholomew teaches an old and well known car body side bracket (172). See column 4, lines 40-41.

Based on the teachings of Bartholomew, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to add a car body side bracket to the vehicle of Shimada et al. to secure and support the hanger member on the vehicle.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,115

Art Unit: 3618

Schad shows a suspension element for the exhaust system of a motor vehicle.

Arciero et al. shows a exhaust system hanger isolator.

Bovio shows a heat shield for exhaust insulator.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bridget Avery at

telephone number 571-272-6691.

.....

February 16, 2006

CHRISTOPHER P. ELLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600